

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF YOLO COUNTY

2500 East Gibson Road, Woodland, CA 95776

(530) 668-5280

Fax (530) 668-5238

(916) 375-6493

E.G. Prieto Sheriff ~ Coroner

> Tom A. Lopez Undersheriff

Administration (530) 668-5280 Finance Personnel Planning & Research

Coroner's Section (530) 668-5292

Field Operations
(530) 668-5280
Civil
Community Resources
Crime Prevention
Department Training
Investigations
Marine Patrol
Patrol
Search & Rescue
Aero Squadron
Cadets
Posse Reserves
STARS

Animal Services (530) 668-5287

Leinberger
Detention
(530) 668-5254
Corrections
Inmate Work Programs

Monroe Detention (530) 668-5245 Corrections Court Services Foods Services Records Transportation

Cameron Training
Facility
(530) 668-5206
Commissary
Inmate Education
Inmate Programs
Inmate Training

MEDIA RELEASE

CONTACT: E.G. PRIETO, SHERIFF ~ CORONER

SUBJECT: RESULTS OF INTERNAL SURVEY

DATE: DECEMBER 10, 2014

SHERIFF RELEASES EMPLOYEE SURVEY RESULTS AND PATHWAY TO THE FUTURE FOR THE YOLO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

We have reviewed all available information to make informed decisions on how to enhance the Sheriff's Office as a whole. We understand that we must constantly strive for improvement in everything we do. Sheriff Ed Prieto said, "We will continue to focus, as we have in the past, on the importance of proving our motto of "Service Without Limitations" and I remain proud of our department and the overall tone of the internal confidential survey. I would like to thank the evaluators and members of our department for their focus on constructive choices that will ultimately enhance our department and provide better service to the community."

Our employees are our most important resource. Good people came together to discuss possible ways to improve our operations and we are listening. Whether it is through a survey or engaging our chain of command, the Sheriff has confidence that our communication streams will help us grow as a department. Along with being proud of the people who represent the department, the Sheriff continually strives to gain input, recommendations and ideas on how to improve every aspect of the Yolo County Sheriff's Office.

In June of this year the Yolo County Grand Jury released a special report criticizing the Yolo County Sheriff's Office. In response to the Grand Jury's opinions, Sheriff Prieto initiated an independent working group to survey members of the department to get direct input and suggestions to improve the department. The survey was not based on political expediency or favor, but in the hopes to continually better our operations and service to our community. The Sheriff's Office and its management staff allowed the

work group discretion to conduct this analysis independently and without attempts to influence or direct the outcome of the inquiry.

The task force was chaired by former Woodland Mayor, Marlin "Skip" Davies, who met with representatives from all bargaining unions within the department to devise a survey that would accurately assess the climate of the department and to make suggestions on how operations could be improved. The survey was transmitted electronically to all 265 Sheriff's Office employees on August 14. Consistent with representations to department employees, the survey responses were kept anonymous. The survey closed on August 27 and 166 department employees voluntarily participated in all or part of the survey.

During the survey, employees were asked to respond to some questions with answers of yes, no, or undecided, while some questions were multiple choice and employees could select as many answers as they felt were applicable to their opinions of the department and its operations. There were 18 questions that allowed respondents to respond in narrative form. The identities of those who responded in narrative form or those who requested to further elaborate on their opinions to the evaluators personally were not revealed to the Department, to encourage free flow of information.

Sheriff Prieto commented, "The results of the survey revealed that in most categories, the department's management team are meeting or exceeding standards the public and department employees would expect from a progressive law enforcement agency. The survey also pointed to some areas in which the operations and management of the department could improve."

An average of 70% of the employees who responded were of the opinion that the Sheriff and his command staff are approachable. Over 80% of the respondents believed their supervisor trusted them, supported their work, made themselves available to their employees and created an atmosphere where employees felt comfortable talking about work-related issues.

Employees also revealed that they believed the work they do makes a difference in Yolo County. The department also received high marks in the areas of providing adequate job training and clear standards of behavior that reflects best law enforcement practices.

Of the 105 employees who responded to the question about whether or not they are thinking of leaving the department, 62 stated reasons not able to be controlled by the Sheriff's Office such as work location, pay and benefits, career change or retirement. 27 responded that if they left, it would be due to their perceived challenges and culture of the department. 16 responded "Other", but that data was not provided to the Department.

In addition to the positive perceptions of Department operations, employees were very candid regarding areas on which the Department could make improvements. One significant area employees believed improvement should be made is in the area of information flow and communication. After reviewing the survey results, the Sheriff has

implemented change. The Department has initiated a monthly newsletter which will be distributed to every Department member. Management staff has enhanced efforts to regularly visit all sections within the department and will continue to attend briefings and meetings to exchange information directly with employees and seek their input.

The survey also revealed that a perception exists that department standards are not applied in a consistent manner among all employees. Being that personnel matters are, by law, confidential, they are not discussed openly among employees outside of an investigation. The Department is bound to enforce progressive discipline, so employees may be disciplined differently depending on the severity of the offense and the discipline history of the employee. Management and Supervisors are reaffirming these requirements with employees at regular meetings.

Employees also stated that our personnel evaluation system is not always fair and consistent. Along with the Peace Officer Standards and Training's mandated Supervisory training, the Sheriff has directed that all Department supervisors and managers participate in personnel evaluation update training which is in the finishing stages of being completed. The theme of this training consists of clarifying rating standards, defining rating categories, clarification of job standards and expectations and fairly documenting employee performance while giving recognition to an employee's work. This training will also re-establish the goal of guiding employees toward achieving their full potential and providing enhanced service to the community.

Law enforcement executives from within Yolo County gave the Sheriff high marks in his professional demeanor. Sheriff Prieto has been in positions of law enforcement supervision/management for over 30 years. The Sheriff has become comfortable enough with his employees, regardless of rank, to communicate with them in an informal manner. Within the department, the Sheriff knows that his large stature can be intimidating to some, so this style of informal communication strives to put employees at ease. The Sheriff has realized the perception of his informal approach and is now addressing employees by name, rank or title.

Although, the task force report stated that 63 of 133 believed the Sheriff or his representatives would retaliate against them if they were to report a sensitive issue, the same survey reported that 94 of 126 respondents to a similar question stated they have never been retaliated against by the Sheriff or his representatives. The Sheriff and management staff have a zero-tolerance policy for retaliation, which he consistently discusses with Department supervisors and managers.

In order to remain progressive and innovative, three months ago, the Department set up a full time unit to review and completely revise our Department's General Orders manual in order to ensure continual compliance with the latest laws, case decisions and proper, modern law enforcement practices. When completed, every paid and volunteer member of our department will receive a written updated copy.

The Sheriff has directed Undersheriff Tom Lopez to form an internal task force, consisting of representatives from every employee bargaining unit from within the Sheriff's Office, to focus on making improvements in the areas addressed in the survey and develop a plan to continue this forum into the future.

Attached, please find a copy of the actual survey taken by department employees. Listed on the survey are the actual numerical values for each response. Of the 265 department employees, 166 answered the survey. On an average 135 employees answered any given question.

About this survey	
requesting your help in gaining a b	the 2014 Grand Jury findings concerning the Sheriff's Office and related matters is better understanding of staff morale, satisfaction, and engagement in the Sheriff's onymous opinions are vital to supporting the Department's future and this survey is you and shape the direction.
confidential demographic informati and will not be able to trace individ	nonymous and managed off-site by Municipal Resource Group. We are requesting on to help identify themes and commonalities within workgroups. We have no desire dual responses. The Community, Board, CAO and Department staff - including ervey results only as aggregate and anonymous data.
	nal. We hope for 100% participation in order to ensure everyone's voice is heard. Whee it your prompt attention. You may skip any question you wish and, as shown below
	sty. If you have any questions about the survey and/or process, please feel free to SPOND TO THE SURVEY BY MONDAY AUGUST 25, 2014.
Skip Davies, Task Force Chairpers	son
1. I will participate in this a	assessment: 174 Answered
Yes 166	
○ No 8	
2. If you choose not to part	cicipate, please tell us why you will not participate: 4 Answered

INDEPENDENT WORK GROUP ASSESSMENT - Yolo County Sheriff's
3. I work in the following area: 146 Answered
Patrol and Investigations 26
O Detention 61
Animal Services 12
Courts 16
Administrative (Finance, Coroner, Civil and Planning & Research) 11
Prefer not to answer 20
4. I have worked for Yolo County for: 141 Answered
0-5 years 3 7
6-10 years 46
11-15 years 25
16-20 years 2 0
20+ years 13
5. I have no foreseeable plans to voluntarily leave my employment at Yolo County: 144
O True 103
False 41
6. If you answered "false" to the previous question, please indicate the reason(s) that you
may leave Yolo County (you may select more than one reason) 144 Answered
Challenges with culture or climate of Department 27
Better career growth opportunities 23
Retirement 9
Salary and benefits 16
Flexible work schedule 4
Desire career change 6
Commute or work location 4
Other (please specify) 16
7. What would you consider your greatest workplace challenges or difficulties? 109
Answered

					? 103 Answere
		v			
What concerns	do you have abou	ıt the workplac	e? (you may	select more	than one)
Answered Poor morale 67	7				
Fear of reprisal 74	4				
Supervision challenges	4.8				
Failure to modernize op	perations 38				
Lack of management ov	versight 30				
Compensation and bene					
Lack of training and de					
Application of policies a					
Technology limitations	4.5				*
Reputation 28	22				
Stressful work environm	nent 59				
1	ient 33				
Other (please specify)	40				
PERVISOR / S	TAFF COMMUN	NICATION			
PERVISOR / S	TAFF COMMUN	NICATION			
. To what degre	e does your direc	ct supervisor s		you? 146 A	
		ct supervisor s	. 5	you? 146 A	nswered A great deal 48
. To what degre	e does your direc	ct supervisor s	. 5		
. To what degreed None of Control	e does your direction of the last sure 23 of the last sure 23 of the last sure 24 of the last sure 24 of the last sure 25 of t	ct supervisor s	23 A signif	icant amount 46	A great deal 48
. To what degreed None of Control	e does your direct Not sure 23 e does higher-levered	ct supervisor si Some 2 O vel managemen	A signif	icant amount 46	A great deal 48 show trust in
. To what degreed None of Control	e does your direction of the last sure 23 of the last sure 23 of the last sure 24 of the last sure 24 of the last sure 25 of t	ct supervisor s	23 A signif	icant amount 46	A great deal 48
. To what degree of the second	e does your direct Not sure 23 e does higher-levered	vel management	A signifut (Lieutenan	at and above) A significant amou	A great deal 48 show trust in nt 36 A great deal 13
To what degree of the second o	e does your direct Not sure 23 e does higher-levered None 19 rtable talking with	vel managemen Not sure 4 7	A signifut (Lieutenan	at and above) A significant amou	A great deal 48 show trust in nt 36 A great deal 13 ues? 145 Answ
. To what degree of the second	e does your direct Not sure 23 e does higher-levered	vel managemen Not sure 4 7	A signifut (Lieutenan	at and above) A significant amou	A great deal 48 show trust in nt 36 A great deal 13
. To what degree None 6 . To what degree aff? 143 Answer	e does your direct 23 o le does higher-levered None 19 ortable talking wir	vel management Not sure 4 7 th your direct self.	A signifut (Lieutenan Some 28 upervisor ab	A significant amount 46 A significant amount 46 Out work iss Quite 36	A great deal 48 show trust in nt 36 A great deal 13 ues? 145 Answ Very 48
To what degree of the second o	e does your direct Not sure 23 e does higher-levered None 19 rtable talking with	vel managemen Not sure 4 7 th your direct self somewhat the somewhat the somewhat the self self self self self self self sel	A signifut (Lieutenan Some 28 upervisor ab	A significant amount 46 A significant amount 46 Out work iss Quite 36	A great deal 48 show trust in nt 36 A great deal 13 ues? 145 Answ Very 48 very 48 s and above)
2. Are you comfort	e does your direct Not sure 23 e does higher-levered None 19 rtable talking with Not very much 14 rtable talking with 14	vel managemen Not sure 4 7 th your direct self somewhat th higher-level red	A signiful (Lieutenan Some 28) upervisor ab 37	A significant amount 46 A significant amount 46 Out work iss Quite 36	A great deal 48 show trust in nt 36 A great deal 13 ues? 145 Answ Very 48

INDEPENDENT	WORK GRO	UP ASSESSMENT	T - Yolo Count	ty Sheriff's
14. Does the Depa		loyee input? 144 Ans		
Not sure 19	Seldom 79	Sometimes 27	Often 13	Frequently 6
		to your ideas? 142		
Not sure 35	Seldom 61	Sometimes 26	Often 15	Frequently 5
16. Do you trust y	our direct superv	isor to support your wo	ork? 145 Answer	red
Not sure 6	Not at all 16	Somewhat 43	Substantially 80	Completely
17. Do you believ	e your Supervisor	trusts you? 144 Ans	swered	
Yes 95				
□ No 19				
I don't know 31				
18. Comments ab	out working relati	ionships: 59 Answer	red	
		~		
WORK EXPECTA	ATIONS			
19. My work expe	ctations are clear:	: 142 Answered		
No opinion/don't know	Strongly disagree	Disagree 25	Agree 109	Strongly agree
\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	0
20. I have access	to my direct supe	ervisor as needed: 142	Answered	
No opinion/don't know	Strongly disagree	Disagree 2	Agree 137	Strongly agree
\circ	\circ	\circ	O	0
21. My supervisor		nd helpful spoken or w	ritten feedback a	bout my work:
134 Answered	Weekly 20	Monthly 36 Quarterly	8 Annually 35	Less than annually 28
0 '	0 =	0	\circ	0
22. My supervisor	r communicates w	vith me in a profession:	105	manner:
No opinion/don't know	Strongly disagree	Disagree 17	Agree 118	Strongly agree
	()			\cup
0				Į.
		ng along, my superviso		The second secon
23. When co-worl		ng along, my superviso	Agree 65	Strongly agree 47
				The second secon

INDEPENDENT WORK GROUP ASSESSMENT - Yolo County Sheriff's
24. Our Department has clear, written standards of behavior that reflect best practices in
law enforcement. 141 Answered
No opinion/don't know 23 Strongly disagree 0 Disagree 33 Agree 85 Strongly agree 0
25. Our Department has clear, written General Orders. 140 Answered
Yes 100
No 32
I don't know 9
26 Are standards of hohovier applied consistently?
26. Are standards of behavior applied consistently? 138 Answered
○ No 98
COMMUNICATION AND RECOGNITION
27 How well is information discominated within the Department 2 141 Anguared
27. How well is information disseminated within the Department? 141 Answered
Information flow works well 34
Information flow is lacking 78
Information flow is nonexistent 14
I am not sure 15
28. What is the impact of communication challenges? 76 Answered
29. I feel recognized for my work contributions: 138 Answered
Yes 67
O No 71

NDEPENDENT WORK GROUP ASSESSMENT - Yolo County Sheriff's				
30. If you disagreed with the previous question, what would make you feel recognized for				
your work contributions (you may select more than one) 71 Answered				
More detailed employee recognition program 28				
Constructive feedback from supervisor 3 0				
Praise from supervisor or command staff 3 9				
Professional development/training opportunities 3 0				
Performance goals 11				
Other (please specify) 24				
31. How should the current Employee Recognition Program be modified? 68				
~				
32. My work makes a difference in Yolo County: 140 Answered				
No opinion/don't know 19 Strongly disagree Disagree 24 Agree 97 Strongly agree				
TRAINING, DEVELOPMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS				
33. I receive a written performance evaluation at least once per year: 140 Answered				
No opinion/don't know 11 Strongly disagree 0 Disagree 41 Agree 88 Strongly agree 0				
34. These performance evaluations are helpful in addressing areas of needed improvement. 133 Answered				
Not helpful 25 Somewhat helpful 27 Don't know Frequently helpful 61 Consistantly helpful				
35. I believe my previous performance evaluations (goals, areas of improvement and				
strengths, etc.) are used as a tool or base of reference in subsequent evaluations?				
Yes 54				
□ No 3 0				
I don't know 52				

INDEPENDENT	WORK GROUP	ASSESSME	NT - Yolo Coun	ty Sheriff's
36. How often do y	ou receive informal	performance fee	dback (such as "mo	onthly
comments)? 134				
Never 42	Monthly 44	Quarterly 6	Annually 9	Intermittently 33
37. Does informal p	erformance feedbac	ck (outside of for	nal performance ev	aluations)
assist you with you	ur performance? 128	8 Answered		
Not useful 27	Somewhat useful 22	Don't know 25	Frequently useful 35	Always useful 19
38. I believe super	visors are adequatel	y trained to cond	uct evaluations?	36 Answered
Yes 59				
O № 51				
O I don't know 26				
39. Are performanc	e evaluations and/o	r monthly feedba	ck ever perceived a	as punitive
tools? 137 Answe	red			
Yes 64				
O № 30				
_				
l don't know 43				
40. Do you have an	ny observations or co			
40. Do you have an	•			
40. Do you have an evaluation or mont	thly comment proce	ss? 71 Answer	red / 22 had no	comment
40. Do you have an evaluation or mont	thly comment proce	58? 71 Answer	red / 22 had no	comment red Almost always the right
40. Do you have an evaluation or mont	adequate training to	58? 71 Answer	red / 22 had no ities? 136 Answe:	comment red Almost always the right
40. Do you have an evaluation or mont	adequate training to	58? 71 Answer	red / 22 had no ities? 136 Answe:	comment red Almost always the right
40. Do you have an evaluation or mont	adequate training to	58? 71 Answer	red / 22 had no ities? 136 Answe:	comment red Almost always the right
40. Do you have an evaluation or mont	adequate training to	58? 71 Answer	red / 22 had no ities? 136 Answe:	comment red Almost always the right
40. Do you have an evaluation or mont	adequate training to	58? 71 Answer	red / 22 had no ities? 136 Answe:	comment red Almost always the right
40. Do you have an evaluation or mont	adequate training to	58? 71 Answer	red / 22 had no ities? 136 Answe:	comment red Almost always the right
40. Do you have an evaluation or mont	adequate training to	58? 71 Answer	red / 22 had no ities? 136 Answe:	comment red Almost always the right

INDEPENDENT WORK GROUP ASSESSMENT - Yolo County Sheriff's
42. I believe staff needs training in the following area(s): (you may choose more than one) 116 Answered
Computer/Software/Technology 50
Technical training specific to my particular job 46
County Policies and Procedures 27
Communication skills 64
Harassment and Discrimination Prevention 25
Ethics 40
POST training 42
Other (please specify) 19
43. Do you believe rules governing the Departmental promotional processes are applied
fairly? 128 Answered Not at all 33 Not very confident 35 Somewhat confident 30 Confident 30 Very confident
Not at all 33 Not very confident 35 Somewhat confident 30 Confident 30 Very confident
44. Do you believe you would be treated fairly in a promotional process? 128 Answered
() Yes 64
○ No 64
45. If not, why do you believe you may not be treated fairly in a promotional process? 59 Answered
46. Have you experienced retaliation when reporting issues or concerns? 126 Answered
Ves 32
O № 94
If so, please provide examples of retaliation:
-
47. Do you know how to access the formal grievance processes? 135 Answered
O Yes 81
O № 54

NDEPENDENT WORK G	ROUP ASSI	ESSMENT - Yo	olo County	Sheriff's
48. Comments about training,	development a	nd dispute resoluti	on: 36 Answ	ered
	¥			
PERCEPTIONS OF SHERIFF				
49. Do you believe the Sheriff r	manages the De	epartment professi	onally? 133	Answered
Yes 44				
No 61				
Do not know 28				
50. Do you believe you can spenda Answered	eak honestly wi	ith the Sheriff abou	t issues and	concerns?
Yes 47				
No 66				
Do not know 21				
51. Are you concerned that the	Sheriff (or his ı	representative) wo	uld retaliate	if you
reported a sensitive issue or c	oncern? 133	Answered		
Yes 63				
No 37				
I don't know 34				
Other (please specify) 8				
52. The Sheriff is approachable	e. 137 Answer	red		
No opinion/don't know 15Strongly disagree		Somewhat agree 29	Agree 50	Strongly agree 0
0 0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
53. The Undersheriff is approa	chable. 138 A	nswered		
No opinion/don't know 19 Strongly disagree 0	Disagree 7	Somewhat agree 26	Agree 86	Strongly agree 0
0	\circ	O	\bigcirc	\circ
54. The Captains are approach				_
No opinion/don't know 11Strongly disagree 2	8 Disagree 0	Somewhat agree 34	Agree 65	Strongly agree 0
Other (planes appelie)	0	\circ		0
Other (please specify)		٦		
		_		

NDEPENDENT WORK GROUP ASSESSMENT - Yolo County Sherif	fs
55. Comments about the Sheriff, Undersheriff or Captains: 59 Answered	
_	
56. If you would like to have a confidential interview with independent, outside	
consultants, you can make an appointment for a confidential phone or confidential, o	ff-site
in-person.	
Please leave comments regarding other topics that should be addressed in this proc	ess.
33 Answered	
•	